

# DeGiorgi-Nash lecture notes

Brian Krummel

March 15, 2016

## 1 Statement of theorems

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $u \in W^{1,2}(B_R(x_0))$  such that  $u \geq 0$  in  $B_R(x_0)$  and*

$$Lu = D_i(a^{ij}D_ju + b^i u) + c^j D_j u + du \geq D_i f^i + g \text{ weakly in } B_R(x_0),$$

*where  $a^{ij} \in L^\infty(B_R(x_0))$ ,  $b^i, c^i, f^i \in L^q(B_R(x_0))$ , and  $d, g \in L^{q/2}(B_R(x_0))$  for  $q > n$  such that*

$$a^{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \geq \lambda|\xi|^2 \text{ for a.e. } x \text{ and } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (1)$$

*for some constant  $\lambda > 0$  and*

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n |a^{ij}|^2 \leq \Lambda^2, \quad \lambda^{-2}R^{2-2n/q} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\|b^i\|_{L^q}^2 + \|c^i\|_{L^q}^2) + \lambda^{-1}R^{2-2n/q}\|d\|_{L^{q/2}} \leq \nu \quad (2)$$

*on  $B_R(x_0)$  for some constants  $\Lambda, \nu \in (0, \infty)$ . Then  $u \in L^\infty(B_{R/2}(x_0))$  such that for  $p > 1$ ,*

$$\sup_{B_{R/2}(x_0)} u \leq C(R^{-n/p}\|u\|_{L^p(B_R(x_0))} + \lambda^{-1}R^{1-n/q}\|f\|_{L^q(B_R(x_0))} + \lambda^{-1}R^{2-2n/q}\|g\|_{L^{q/2}(B_R(x_0))})$$

*for some constant  $C = C(n, q, p, \Lambda/\lambda, \nu) \in (0, \infty)$ .*

**Theorem 2** (Weak Harnack inequality). *Suppose  $u \in W^{1,2}(B_{2R}(x_0))$  such that  $u \geq 0$  in  $B_{2R}(x_0)$  and*

$$Lu = D_i(a^{ij}D_ju + b^i u) + c^j D_j u + du \leq D_i f^i + g \text{ weakly in } B_{2R}(x_0),$$

*where  $a^{ij} \in L^\infty(B_{2R}(x_0))$ ,  $b^i, c^i, f^i \in L^q(B_{2R}(x_0))$ , and  $d, g \in L^{q/2}(B_{2R}(x_0))$  for  $q > n$  such that (1) and (2) hold (on  $B_{2R}(x_0)$ ) for some constants  $\lambda, \Lambda, \nu \in (0, \infty)$ . Then for  $1 \leq p < n/(n-2)$ ,*

$$R^{-n/p}\|u\|_{L^p(B_R(x_0))} \leq C\left(\inf_{B_{R/2}(x_0)} u + \lambda^{-1}R^{1-n/q}\|f\|_{L^q(B_R(x_0))} + \lambda^{-1}R^{2-2n/q}\|g\|_{L^{q/2}(B_R(x_0))}\right)$$

*for some constant  $C = C(n, q, p, \Lambda/\lambda, \nu) \in (0, \infty)$ .*

Observe that Theorem 1 says remarkably that if we have a subsolution  $u \in W^{1,2}$ , which needn't be bounded, then in fact  $u$  is bounded. In fact we will later use Theorem 2 to say something slightly stronger, namely that any solution  $u \in W^{1,2}$  to an elliptic equation is in fact Hölder continuous. In the proof, we will show that  $u$  is bounded by showing  $u \in L^p$  for all  $p \geq 1$  with the average  $L^p$

norm of  $u$  uniformly bounded independent of  $p$ . Thus the interesting step here is how we go from  $u \in L^2$  to  $u \in L^p$  for  $p \geq 2$ . This is called Moser iteration.

Recall that later we will prove a Hölder continuity estimate using the weak Harnack inequality. In the case of quasilinear elliptic equations, which is a class of nonlinear elliptic equations including the minimal surface equation, by differentiating the quasilinear equation one can use this to prove  $C^{1,\mu}$  estimates on solutions. This is the key to the Leray-Schauder existence theory for quasilinear elliptic equations. Using the maximum principle and energy estimates, one can establish  $C^1$  bounds on solutions to quasilinear elliptic equations in divergence form, however the Leray-Schauder theory requires a  $C^{1,\mu}$  bound.

Before looking at the proof, observe that by combining Theorems 1 and 2, we obtain:

**Corollary 1** (Harnack inequality). *Let  $\Omega$  be a connected open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  such that  $u \geq 0$  in  $\Omega$  and*

$$Lu = D_i(a^{ij}D_ju + b^i u) + c^j D_ju + du = D_i f^i + g \text{ weakly in } \Omega,$$

where  $a^{ij} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $b^i, c^i, f^i \in L^q(\Omega)$ , and  $d, g \in L^{q/2}(\Omega)$  for  $q > n$  such that (1) holds true on  $\Omega$  and

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n |a^{ij}|^2 \leq \Lambda^2, \quad \lambda^{-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\|b^i\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^2 + \|c^i\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^2) + \lambda^{-1} \|d\|_{L^{q/2}(\Omega)} \leq \nu$$

for some constants  $\lambda, \Lambda, \nu \in (0, \infty)$ . Then  $u \in L^\infty_{loc}(\Omega)$  and for every  $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ ,

$$\sup_{\Omega'} u \leq C(\inf_{\Omega'} u + \lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \lambda^{-1} \|g\|_{L^{q/2}(\Omega)})$$

for  $C = C(n, \Lambda/\lambda, \nu, \Omega', \Omega) \in (0, \infty)$ .

*Proof.* By combining Theorems 1 and 2, choosing  $p \in (1, n/(n-2))$ , for every ball  $B_{4R}(x_0) \subset\subset \Omega$ ,

$$\sup_{B_R(x_0)} u \leq C(\inf_{B_R(x_0)} u + \lambda^{-1} R^{1-n/q} \|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \lambda^{-1} R^{2-2n/q} \|g\|_{L^{q/2}(\Omega)}) \quad (3)$$

for some constant  $C = C(n, q, p, \Lambda/\lambda, \nu) \in [2, \infty)$ . Cover  $\Omega'$  by a finite collection of open balls  $B_j = B_{R_j}(x_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , such that  $B_{4R_j}(x_j) \subset\subset \Omega$ . Assume  $\bigcup_{j=1}^N B_j$  is connected, as otherwise  $\bigcup_{j=1}^N B_j$  consists of finitely many connected components, each of which can be connected by a continuous path in  $\Omega$  since  $\Omega$  is connected and then we can cover the path by additional balls  $B_j$  until  $\bigcup_{j=1}^N B_j$  is connected. Let  $y, z \in \Omega'$  and  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega'$  be a path from  $\gamma(0) = y$  to  $\gamma(1) = z$ . It is clear that since the balls  $B_j$  cover the image of  $\gamma$ , we can label the balls  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_M$ , where  $M \leq N$ , and find times  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{M-1} < t_M = 1$  so that  $\gamma(t_j) \in B_j \cap B_{j+1}$  for  $1 \leq j < M$ . Specifically, inductively define  $B_j$  and  $t_j$  by choosing  $B_1$  containing  $y$  and then for each  $j \geq 1$  choosing  $B_{j+1}$  to contain  $\gamma(\tau_j)$ , where  $\tau_j = \sup\{t \in (t_{j-1}, 1] : \gamma(t) \in B_j\}$  and then choosing  $t_j$  slightly less than  $\tau_j$  such that  $\gamma(t_j) \in B_j \cap B_{j+1}$ . By (3),

$$\sup_{B_j} u \leq C(\inf_{B_j} u + \lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \lambda^{-1} \|g\|_{L^{q/2}(\Omega)}) \quad (4)$$

for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$  for some constant  $C \in [1, \infty)$  depending only on  $n, q, \Lambda/\lambda, \nu$ , and the radii  $R_j$ . After perturbing  $y, z$ , and  $t_j$  slightly if necessary to account for the fact that  $u$  is only defined up to sets of zero measure, (4) implies that

$$u(\gamma(t_j)) \leq C(u(\gamma(t_{j+1})) + \lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \lambda^{-1} \|g\|_{L^{q/2}(\Omega)})$$

for  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, M - 1$ , from which it follows that

$$u(y) \leq C^N u(z) + \sum_{j=1}^N C^j \lambda^{-1} (\|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{L^{q/2}(\Omega)}).$$

Taking the essential supremum over  $y \in \Omega'$  and the essential infimum over  $z \in \Omega'$ ,

$$\sup_{\Omega'} u \leq C^N \inf_{\Omega'} u + \sum_{j=1}^N C^j \lambda^{-1} (\|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{L^{q/2}(\Omega)}).$$

□

## 2 Part 1 of proof: Weak equation and Moser iteration

In this section we will prove Theorem 1 and begin the proof of Theorem 2. It will be convenient to do this with the two theorems jointly. First we can assume by translation and rescaling that  $x_0 = 0$  and  $R = 1$ .

The basic idea is to use the test function  $\zeta = u^\beta \eta^2$  in the weak equation

$$\int a^{ij} D_j u D_i \zeta \leq (\geq) 0, \quad (5)$$

where  $\eta \in W_0^{1,2}$ . For now assume that  $\zeta \in W_0^{1,2}$ ; we will come back this later. The choice of test function yields

$$\beta \int a^{ij} u^{\beta-1} D_i u D_j u \eta^2 \leq (\geq) - 2 \int a^{ij} u^\beta \eta D_j u D_i \eta.$$

Now choose  $\beta > 0$  if  $Lu \geq 0$  weakly in  $B_1$  and  $\beta < 0$  if  $Lu \leq 0$  weakly in  $B_1$ . By ellipticity and the bound on  $a^{ij}$ ,

$$|\beta| \lambda \int u^{\beta-1} |Du|^2 \eta^2 \leq 2\Lambda \int u^\beta \eta |Du| |D\eta|$$

and so by Cauchy's inequality,

$$\int u^{\beta-1} |Du|^2 \eta^2 \leq \frac{4\Lambda^2}{\beta^2 \lambda^2} \int u^{\beta+1} |D\eta|^2. \quad (6)$$

Now assume that  $\beta \neq -1$  and let  $\gamma = (\beta + 1)/2$  and  $w = u^\gamma$  to get

$$\int |Dw|^2 \eta^2 \leq \frac{4\Lambda^2 \gamma^2}{\beta^2 \lambda^2} \int w^2 |D\eta|^2,$$

which implies

$$\int |D(w\eta)|^2 \leq C \int w^2 |D\eta|^2$$

for some constant  $C = C(\Lambda/\lambda) \in (0, \infty)$  provided  $\beta$  remains bounded away from zero. Applying the Sobolev inequality on the left-hand-side,

$$\|w\eta\|_{L^{2\kappa}(B_1)} \leq C \|wD\eta\|_{L^2(B_1)},$$

where  $\kappa = n/(n-2) > 1$  if  $n \geq 3$  and  $1 < \kappa < \infty$  and  $C = C(n, \Lambda/\lambda) \in (0, \infty)$  is a constant. (Note that in the general case where  $b^i, c^i, d, f^i, g$  are not all zero, we also use the Hölder inequality here to deal with these terms.) Using the fact that  $w = u^\gamma$  and choosing  $\eta$  be a cutoff function such that

$$\|u\|_{L^{2\kappa\gamma}(B_r)} \leq (C(s-r)^{-1}\gamma)^{1/\gamma} \|u\|_{L^{2\gamma}(B_s)} \quad (7)$$

if  $\gamma > 0$  and  $0 < r < s$  and

$$\|u\|_{L^{2\gamma}(B_s)} \leq (C(s-r)^{-1}|\gamma|)^{1/|\gamma|} \|u\|_{L^{2\kappa\gamma}(B_r)} \quad (8)$$

if  $\gamma < 0$  and  $0 < r < s$ . Note that we let  $\|u\|_{L^{p'}(B)} = (\int_B u^{p'})^{1/p'}$  for any ball  $B$  even when  $p' < 1$ , though this is clearly just notation and does not represent a norm. The basic idea now is to iterate the inequalities (7) and (8).

Now the above argument is not quite rigorous yet since we need  $\zeta \in W_0^{1,2}(B_1)$ . Consider more generally  $\zeta = G(u)\eta^2$ , where  $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $C^1$ . To show that  $\zeta \in W^{1,2}$  we typically use the fact that  $G'$  is bounded. However, when  $G(t) = t^\beta$ ,  $G'(t) = \beta t^{\beta-1}$ , which becomes unbounded as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  for  $\beta > 1$  and becomes unbounded as  $t \downarrow 0$  for  $\beta < 1$ . By replacing  $u$  with  $u + \varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ , we can prove Theorems 1 and 2 in the special case that  $u$  is strictly positive. Moreover, when  $\beta > 1$  we instead let  $\zeta = G(u)\eta^2$  in (5), where

$$G(t) = \begin{cases} t^\beta & \text{if } t \leq N, \\ N^\beta + \beta N^{\beta-1}(t - N) & \text{if } t \geq N. \end{cases}$$

This yields

$$\int a^{ij} G'(u) D_i u D_j u \eta^2 \leq -2 \int a^{ij} G(u) \eta D_j u D_i \eta.$$

By ellipticity and the bound on  $a^{ij}$  and the fact that  $G(u) \leq G'(u)u$  due to  $G$  being convex,

$$\lambda \int G'(u) |Du|^2 \eta^2 \leq 2\Lambda \int G'(u) u \eta |Du| |D\eta|$$

and so by Cauchy's inequality,

$$\int G'(u) |Du|^2 \eta^2 \leq \frac{4\Lambda^2}{\lambda^2} \int G'(u) u^2 |D\eta|^2.$$

Now if  $u \in L^{2\gamma}(B_1)$ , then we can let  $N \rightarrow \infty$  to obtain (6) and then argue as above to obtain (7).

Now let us suppose that  $Lu \geq 0$  weakly in  $B_1$  and return to (7). Choose  $\gamma = \kappa^{j-1}p/2$ ,  $r = 1/2 + 2^{-j-1}$ , and  $s = 1/2 + 2^{-j}$  in (7) for  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$  to get

$$\|u\|_{L^{\kappa^m p}(B_{1/2})} \leq \prod_{j=1}^m (Cp)^{2\kappa^{-j+1}/p} 2^{2j\kappa^{-j+1}/p} \kappa^{2(j-1)\kappa^{-j+1}/p} \|u\|_{L^p(B_1)}$$

for all  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and some constant  $C = C(n, \Lambda/\lambda) \in (0, \infty)$  provided  $u \in L^p(B_1)$ . Using the fact that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \kappa^{-j} < \infty$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j\kappa^{-j} < \infty$  since  $\kappa > 1$ ,

$$\|u\|_{L^{\kappa^m p}(B_{1/2})} \leq C \|u\|_{L^p(B_1)} \quad (9)$$

for all  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and some constant  $C = C(n, p, \Lambda/\lambda) \in (0, \infty)$  independent of  $m$  provided  $u \in L^p(B_1)$ . By choosing  $p = 2$ , we get that  $u \in L^P(B_{1/2})$  for all  $P \geq 1$ . It then follows as a basic fact about Lebesgue functions and (9) that  $u \in L^\infty(B_{1/2})$  with

$$\sup_{B_{1/2}} u = \lim_{P \rightarrow \infty} \|u\|_{L^P(B_{1/2})} \leq C \|u\|_{L^p(B_1)}.$$

The supersolution case where  $Lu \leq 0$  weakly in  $B_2$  is a bit more complicated (it is convenient for the numbering if we set  $R = 2$ , instead of 1). We can again iterate (7) with  $\gamma = \kappa^{-j}p/2$ ,  $r = 3/2 - 2^{-j}$ , and  $s = 3/2 - 2^{-j-1}$  in (7) for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$  to get

$$\|u\|_{L^p(B_1)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^{\kappa^{-m}p}(B_{3/2})}$$

for all  $0 < p < \kappa$ , all  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , and some constant  $C = C(n, p, \Lambda/\lambda) \in (0, \infty)$  independent of  $m$ . Note that since for the supersolution case  $\beta < 0$  and thus  $\gamma < 1/2$ , we need  $p < \kappa$ . By the Hölder inequality,

$$\|u\|_{L^p(B_1)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^{p_0}(B_{3/2})} \tag{10}$$

for  $0 < p_0 < p < \kappa$ , and some constant  $C = C(n, p, \Lambda/\lambda) \in (0, \infty)$ . We can also iterate (8) as above with  $\gamma = -\kappa^{j-1}p_0/2$  for  $p_0 > 0$ ,  $r = 1/2 + 2^{-j}$ , and  $s = 1/2 + 2^{-j+1}$  in (7) for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$  to get

$$\|u\|_{L^{-p_0}(B_{3/2})} \leq C \|u\|_{L^{-\kappa^m p_0}(B_{1/2})} \tag{11}$$

for all  $p_0 > 0$ , all  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , and some constant  $C = C(n, p_0, \Lambda/\lambda) \in (0, \infty)$  independent of  $m$ . Observe that (11) implies that  $1/u \in L^P(B_{1/2})$  for all  $p \geq 1$  and

$$\lim_{P \rightarrow \infty} \|u\|_{L^{-P}(B_{1/2})} = \lim_{P \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\|1/u\|_{L^P(B_{1/2})}} = \frac{1}{\sup_{B_{1/2}}(1/u)} = \inf_{B_{1/2}} u,$$

so by letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (11),

$$\|u\|_{L^{-p_0}(B_{3/2})} \leq C \inf_{B_{1/2}} u. \tag{12}$$

Thus to complete the proof of Theorem 2, it suffices to show that for some  $p_0 \in (0, p)$ ,

$$\|u\|_{L^{p_0}(B_{3/2}(0))} \leq C \|u\|_{L^{-p_0}(B_{3/2}(0))} \tag{13}$$

for some constant  $C = C(n, p_0, \Lambda/\lambda) \in (0, \infty)$ , as then the conclusion of Theorem 2 will follow from combining (10), (12), and (13).

### 3 Part 2: Proving (13)

Recall (6),

$$\int u^{\beta-1} |Du|^2 \eta^2 \leq \frac{4\Lambda^2}{\beta^2 \lambda^2} \int u^{\beta+1} |D\eta|^2.$$

Let  $\beta = -1$  and  $w = \log u$  and to get that

$$\int_{B_2} \eta^2 |Dw|^2 \leq C \int_{B_2} |D\eta|^2 \tag{14}$$

for all  $\eta \in W_0^{1,2}(B_2)$  for some constant  $C = C(\lambda, \Lambda) \in (0, \infty)$ .

By choosing  $\eta$  in (14) to be the cutoff function such that  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ ,  $\eta = 1$  on  $B_{7/4}(0)$ ,  $\eta = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_2(0)$ , and  $|D\eta| \leq 6$ ,

$$\int_{B_{7/4}(0)} |Dw|^2 \leq C \quad (15)$$

for some constant  $C \in (0, \infty)$ .

Choose  $\eta = \phi^{\alpha Q - \beta} |w - \ell|^{Q-1}$  in (14) for a cutoff function  $\phi$  such that  $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ ,  $\phi = 1$  in  $B_{3/2}(0)$ ,  $\phi = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{7/4}(0)$ , and  $|D\phi| \leq 6$  and constants  $Q \geq 1$ ,  $\ell$ ,  $\alpha$ , and  $\beta$  to be specified later. Since

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} (|\log(t) - \ell|^Q) \right| = \frac{Q |\log(t) - \ell|^{Q-1}}{t}$$

remains bounded as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $|w - \ell|^{Q-1} = |\log(u) - \ell|^{Q-1} \in W^{1,2}(B_2)$ . With this choice of  $\eta$ , (14) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} \phi^{2\alpha Q - 2\beta} |w - \ell|^{2Q-2} |Dw|^2 &\leq CQ^2 \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} \phi^{2\alpha Q - 2\beta - 2} |D\phi|^2 |w - \ell|^{2Q-2} \\ &\quad + CQ^2 \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} \phi^{2\alpha Q - 2\beta} |w - \ell|^{2Q-4} |Dw|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

for  $C = C(n, \Lambda/\lambda, \nu, \alpha, \beta) \in (0, \infty)$ . How we will do the usual computation, where we move the terms with derivatives of  $w$  to the left-hand-side and then use the Sobolev inequality (and Hölder inequality) to get an estimate that we will iterate. To move the derivatives of  $w$  onto the left hand side, we usually would use Cauchy's inequality. Instead we use Young's inequality to get

$$CQ^2 |w - \ell|^{2Q-4} \leq \frac{1}{2} |w - \ell|^{2Q-2} + \frac{1}{2} (C')^Q Q^{2Q-2}$$

for some constant  $C' = C'(n, \Lambda/\lambda, \nu, \alpha, \beta) \in (0, \infty)$  and then substitute into (16) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} \phi^{2\alpha Q - 2\beta} |w - \ell|^{2Q-2} |Dw|^2 &\leq CQ^2 \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} \phi^{2\alpha Q - 2\beta - 2} |D\phi|^2 |w - \ell|^{2Q-2} \\ &\quad + (C')^Q Q^{2Q-2} \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} \phi^{2\alpha Q - 2\beta} |Dw|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (15) (below, we will ensure that  $\alpha Q \geq \beta + 1$ ),

$$\int_{B_{7/4}(0)} \phi^{2\alpha Q - 2\beta} |w - \ell|^{2Q-2} |Dw|^2 \leq CQ^2 \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} \phi^{2\alpha Q - 2\beta - 2} |D\phi|^2 |w - \ell|^{2Q-2} + C^Q Q^{2Q-2}$$

for some constant  $C = C(n, \Lambda/\lambda, \nu, \alpha, \beta) \in (0, \infty)$ . Next, using  $|w - \ell|^{2Q-2} \leq 1 + |w - \ell|^{2Q}$ ,

$$\int_{B_{7/4}(0)} |D(\phi^{\alpha Q - \beta} |w - \ell|^Q)|^2 \leq C^Q Q^{2Q} + CQ^4 \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} \phi^{2\alpha Q - 2\beta - 2} |D\phi|^2 |w - \ell|^{2Q},$$

so by the Sobolev inequality and definition of  $\phi$ ,

$$\left( \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} \phi^{2\alpha \kappa Q - 2\beta \kappa} |w - \ell|^{2\kappa Q} \right)^{1/\kappa} \leq C^Q Q^{2Q} + CQ^4 \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} \phi^{2\alpha Q - 2\beta - 2} |w - \ell|^{2Q} \quad (17)$$

for some constant  $C = C(n, \Lambda/\lambda, \nu, \alpha, \beta) \in (0, \infty)$ , where as above  $\kappa = n/(n-2)$  if  $n \geq 3$  and  $\kappa > 1$  if  $n = 2$ . (Note that in the case where  $b^i, c^i, d, f^i, g$  are not all zero, we have to apply the Hölder inequality here and get in fact  $|w - \ell|^{2Q\tau}$  in the integral on the right-hand-side, where  $\tau = q/(q-2)$ . Thus we have to use the interpolation inequality (20) below to get (17).) Choose  $\beta$  so that  $\beta\kappa = \beta + 1$ , i.e.  $\beta = 1/(\kappa - 1)$ , and thus

$$\left( \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} (\phi^\alpha |w - \ell|)^{2\kappa Q} \cdot \phi^{-2\beta-2} dX \right)^{1/\kappa} \leq C^Q Q^{2Q} + CQ^4 \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} (\phi^\alpha |w - \ell|)^{2Q} \cdot \phi^{-2\beta-2} dX.$$

Taking the  $1/Q$  power of both sides and using  $(a+b)^{1/Q} \leq a^{1/Q} + b^{1/Q}$  for  $a, b \geq 0$  yields

$$\left( \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} (\phi^\alpha |w - \ell|)^{2\kappa Q} \cdot \phi^{-2\beta-2} dX \right)^{1/\kappa Q} \leq CQ^2 + C^{1/Q} \left( \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} (\phi^\alpha |w - \ell|)^{2Q} \cdot \phi^{-2\beta-2} dX \right)^{1/Q}. \quad (18)$$

Iterating (18) by taking  $Q = \kappa^{m-1}$  for integers  $m \geq m_0 + 1$ , where  $m_0$  is the least integer such that  $\kappa^{m_0} \geq 2$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} (\phi^\alpha |w - \ell|)^{2\kappa^m} \cdot \phi^{-2\beta-2} dX \right)^{1/\kappa^m} \leq C\kappa^{2m-2} + C\kappa^{-m+1} \left( C\kappa^{2m-4} + C\kappa^{-m+2} (\dots \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left( C\kappa^{2m_0} + C\kappa^{-m_0} \left( \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} (\phi^\alpha |w - \ell|)^{2\kappa^{m_0}} \cdot \phi^{-2\beta-2} dX \right)^{1/\kappa^{m_0}} \right) \dots \right) \\ & = C\kappa^{2m} + \sum_{j=m_0+1}^{m-2} C^{\sum_{l=j+1}^{m-1} \kappa^{-2l}} \cdot C\kappa^{2j} + C^{\sum_{l=m_0+2}^{m-1} \kappa^{-2l}} \cdot C \left( \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} (\phi^\alpha |w - \ell|)^{2\kappa^{m_0}} \cdot \phi^{-2\beta-2} dX \right)^{1/\kappa^{m_0}} \\ & \leq C\kappa^{2m} + C \left( \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} (\phi^\alpha |w - \ell|)^{2\kappa^{m_0}} \cdot \phi^{-2\beta-2} dX \right)^{1/\kappa^{m_0}} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

for every integer  $m \geq 1$  for constants  $C = C(n, \Lambda/\lambda, \nu, q, \alpha) \in (0, \infty)$  independent of  $m$ , provided  $m \geq m_0 + 3$  and with obvious modification if  $m = m_0 + 1, m_0 + 2$ .

Now recall that by the Hölder inequality and Young's inequality, we have the following interpolation result: for any function  $f \in L^{p_1}$  on an abstract measure space,  $1 \leq p_0 < p_1 < \infty$ , and  $p_t$  defined by  $1/p_t = (1-t)/p_0 + t/p_1$  for each  $t \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\|f\|_{L^{p_t}} \leq \|f^{1-t}\|_{L^{p_0/(1-t)}} \|f^t\|_{L^{p_0/t}} = \|f\|_{L^{p_0}}^{1-t} \|f\|_{L^{p_1}}^t \leq (1-t)\|f\|_{L^{p_0}} + t\|f\|_{L^{p_1}}. \quad (20)$$

By letting  $f = \phi^\alpha |w - \ell|$  on the measure space  $B_{7/4}(0)$  with measure  $\phi^{\kappa/(\kappa-1)} dX$  and choosing  $p_0, p_1$ , and  $t$  so that  $t$  is small and  $p_0 = 2, p_1 = \kappa^m$ , and  $p_t = \kappa^{m_0-1}$  for some integer  $m > m_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} (\phi^\alpha |w - \ell|)^{2\kappa^{m_0}} \cdot \phi^{-2\beta-2} dX \right)^{1/\kappa^{m_0}} \\ & \leq \left( \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} (\phi^\alpha |w - \ell|)^2 \cdot \phi^{-2\beta-2} dX \right)^{1/2} + t \left( \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} (\phi^\alpha |w - \ell|)^{2\kappa^m} \cdot \phi^{-2\beta-2} dX \right)^{1/2\kappa^m} \\ & \leq \left( \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} (\phi^\alpha |w - \ell|)^2 \cdot \phi^{-2\beta-2} dX \right)^{1/2} + tC\kappa^{2m} + tC \left( \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} (\phi^\alpha |w - \ell|)^{2\kappa^{m_0}} \cdot \phi^{-2\beta-2} dX \right)^{1/\kappa^{m_0}} \end{aligned}$$

and so taking  $t$  small enough that  $tC < 1/2$  and fixing  $m$ ,

$$\left( \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} (\phi^\alpha |w - \ell|)^{2\kappa^{m_0}} \cdot \phi^{-2\beta-2} dX \right)^{1/\kappa^{m_0}} \leq C + C \left( \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} (\phi^\alpha |w - \ell|)^2 \cdot \phi^{-2\beta-2} dX \right)^{1/2}.$$

for some constant  $C = C(n, \Lambda/\lambda, \nu, q, \alpha) \in (0, \infty)$ . By choosing  $\alpha = \beta + 1$ ,

$$\left( \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} (\phi^\alpha |w - \ell|)^{2\kappa^{m_0}} \cdot \phi^{-2\beta-2} dX \right)^{1/\kappa^{m_0}} \leq C + C \left( \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} |w - \ell|^2 dX \right)^{1/2}.$$

By the Poincaré inequality and (15), for some constant  $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\left( \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} (\phi^\alpha |w - \ell|)^{2\kappa^{m_0}} \phi^{-2\beta-2} dX \right)^{1/\kappa^{m_0}} \leq C + C \left( \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} |Dw|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C \quad (21)$$

for some constants  $C = C(n, \Lambda/\lambda, \nu, q) \in (0, \infty)$ . Combining (19) and (21),

$$\left( \int_{B_{7/4}(0)} (\phi^\alpha |w - \ell|)^{2\kappa^m} \cdot \phi^{-2\beta-2} dX \right)^{1/\kappa^m} \leq C\kappa^{2m}$$

By the definition of  $\phi$ ,

$$\left( \int_{B_{3/2}(0)} |w - \ell|^{2\kappa^m} dX \right)^{1/\kappa^m} \leq C\kappa^{2m} \quad (22)$$

for some constant  $C = C(n, \Lambda/\lambda, \nu, q) \in (0, \infty)$ .

Given any integer  $j \geq 2$ , choose  $m \geq 1$  such that  $2\kappa^{m-1} \leq j < 2\kappa^m$  in (22) to obtain

$$\int_{B_{3/2}(0)} |w - \ell|^j \leq (Cj)^j. \quad (23)$$

for some constant  $C = C(n, \Lambda/\lambda, \nu, q) \in (0, \infty)$ . Note that (23) holds true for  $j = 1$ .

Recall the Taylor series  $e^x = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x^j/j!$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and observe that this implies that

$$e^{x/e} \leq 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^j}{j^j}$$

for all  $x \geq 0$ . Thus

$$\int_{B_{3/2}(0)} e^{p_0|w-\ell|} \leq 1 + \int_{B_{3/2}(0)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{|w - \ell|^j}{(2Cj)^j} \leq 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} = \frac{3}{2}.$$

where  $p_0 = 1/(2eC)$ . Consequently

$$\int_{B_{3/2}(0)} e^{p_0(w-\ell)} \leq \frac{3}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_{3/2}(0)} e^{-p_0(w-\ell)} \leq \frac{3}{2},$$



which multiplying each side yields

$$\left( \int_{B_3(0)} e^{-p_0 w} \right) \left( \int_{B_{3/2}(0)} e^{+p_0 w} \right) \leq \frac{9}{4}.$$

Since  $w = \log u$ ,

$$\left( \int_{B_{3/2}(0)} u^{-p_0} \right) \left( \int_{B_{3/2}(0)} u^{+p_0} \right) \leq \frac{9}{4},$$

which is equivalent to (13).

## References

- [GT] David Gilbarg, Neil S. Trudinger. *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*. Springer, 1998.